Multiply connected wandering domains and commuting functions

Gwyneth Stallard (joint work with Phil Rippon)

The Open University

Japan December 2017

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Basic definitions

$f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is transcendental entire



Basic definitions

$f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is transcendental entire

Definition

The Fatou set (or stable set) is

 $F(f) = \{z : (f^n) \text{ is equicontinuous in some neighbourhood of } z\}.$



Basic definitions

$f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is transcendental entire

Definition

The Fatou set (or stable set) is

 $F(f) = \{z : (f^n) \text{ is equicontinuous in some neighbourhood of } z\}.$

Definition

The Julia set (or chaotic set) is

$$J(f) = \mathbb{C} \setminus F(f).$$



Definition

The escaping set is

$$I(f) = \{z : f^n(z) \to \infty \text{ as } n \to \infty\}.$$

Definition

The escaping set is

$$I(f) = \{z : f^n(z) \to \infty \text{ as } n \to \infty\}.$$

Definition

The fast escaping set is $A(f) = \bigcup_{L \in \mathbb{N}} f^{-L}(A_R(f))$ where:

$$A_R(f) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |f^n(z)| \ge M^n(R) \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\},\$$

if R > 0 is such that $M^n(R) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$.

1 Attracting basin *U* contains an attracting *p*-periodic point z_0 . For all $z \in U$, we have $f^{np}(z) \to z_0$ as $n \to \infty$.



- **1** Attracting basin *U* contains an attracting *p*-periodic point z_0 . For all $z \in U$, we have $f^{np}(z) \to z_0$ as $n \to \infty$.
- **2 Parabolic basin** ∂U contains a parabolic *p*-periodic point z_0 . For all $z \in U$, we have $f^{np}(z) \to z_0$ as $n \to \infty$.

- **1** Attracting basin *U* contains an attracting *p*-periodic point z_0 . For all $z \in U$, we have $f^{np}(z) \to z_0$ as $n \to \infty$.
- **2 Parabolic basin** ∂U contains a parabolic *p*-periodic point z_0 . For all $z \in U$, we have $f^{np}(z) \to z_0$ as $n \to \infty$.
- **3** Siegel disc There is a conformal mapping $\phi : U \to \mathbb{D}$, where \mathbb{D} is the unit disc, such that $\phi(f^{\rho}(\phi^{-1}(z))) = e^{2\pi i \theta} z$, where θ is irrational.

- **1** Attracting basin *U* contains an attracting *p*-periodic point z_0 . For all $z \in U$, we have $f^{np}(z) \to z_0$ as $n \to \infty$.
- **2 Parabolic basin** ∂U contains a parabolic *p*-periodic point z_0 . For all $z \in U$, we have $f^{np}(z) \to z_0$ as $n \to \infty$.
- **3** Siegel disc There is a conformal mapping $\phi : U \to \mathbb{D}$, where \mathbb{D} is the unit disc, such that $\phi(f^{\rho}(\phi^{-1}(z))) = e^{2\pi i \theta} z$, where θ is irrational.
- **4** Baker domain For all $z \in U$, we have $f^{np}(z) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$.

A Fatou component U is a wandering domain if

$f^n(U) \cap f^m(U) = \emptyset$ for $n \neq m$.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

A Fatou component U is a wandering domain if

$f^n(U) \cap f^m(U) = \emptyset$ for $n \neq m$.

Although rational functions have no wandering domains, there are many examples of wandering domains for transcendental entire functions.



A Fatou component U is a wandering domain if

$$f^n(U) \cap f^m(U) = \emptyset$$
 for $n \neq m$.

Although rational functions have no wandering domains, there are many examples of wandering domains for transcendental entire functions.

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ()

Question Can we produce a classification of wandering domains?

A wandering cauliflower



 $f(z)=z\cos z+2\pi$



Let *U* be a multiply connected Fatou component and $U_n = f^n(U)$. Then

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Let *U* be a multiply connected Fatou component and $U_n = f^n(U)$. Then

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

• U is a wandering domain

Let *U* be a multiply connected Fatou component and $U_n = f^n(U)$. Then

- *U* is a wandering domain
- U_{n+1} surrounds U_n for large $n \in \mathbb{N}$



Let *U* be a multiply connected Fatou component and $U_n = f^n(U)$. Then

・ロット (雪) (日) (日) (日)

- U is a wandering domain
- U_{n+1} surrounds U_n for large $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- $U_n \subset A_R(f)$ for large $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Let *U* be a multiply connected Fatou component and $U_n = f^n(U)$. Then

- U is a wandering domain
- U_{n+1} surrounds U_n for large $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- $U_n \subset A_R(f)$ for large $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- For large n, each component of the boundary of U_n is a component of A_B(f) ∩ J(f).

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Let *U* be a multiply connected Fatou component and $U_n = f^n(U)$. Then

- U is a wandering domain
- U_{n+1} surrounds U_n for large $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- $U_n \subset A_R(f)$ for large $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- For large *n*, each component of the boundary of U_n is a component of A_R(f) ∩ J(f).

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

• $A_R(f)$ is a spider's web

Structure of multiply connected wandering domains Bergweiler, Rippon and Stallard

Theorem

If U is a multiply connected wandering domain and $D \subset U$ is an open neighborhood of z_0 , then there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that, for large $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$f^n(D) \supset A(|f^n(z_0)|^{1-lpha}, |f^n(z_0)|^{1+lpha}).$$

・ ロ ト ・ 雪 ト ・ 雪 ト ・ 日 ト

э

Structure of multiply connected wandering domains Bergweiler, Rippon and Stallard

Theorem

If U is a multiply connected wandering domain and $D \subset U$ is an open neighborhood of z_0 , then there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that, for large $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$f^n(D) \supset A(|f^n(z_0)|^{1-\alpha}, |f^n(z_0)|^{1+\alpha}).$$

Theorem

If U is a multiply connected wandering domain then, for large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an "absorbing annulus"

$$B_n = A(r_n^{a_n}, r_n^{b_n}) \subset U_n$$



Structure of multiply connected wandering domains Bergweiler, Rippon and Stallard

Theorem

If U is a multiply connected wandering domain and $D \subset U$ is an open neighborhood of z_0 , then there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that, for large $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$f^n(D) \supset A(|f^n(z_0)|^{1-\alpha}, |f^n(z_0)|^{1+\alpha}).$$

Theorem

If U is a multiply connected wandering domain then, for large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an "absorbing annulus"

$$B_n = A(r_n^{a_n}, r_n^{b_n}) \subset U_n$$

such that, for every compact set $C \subset U$, $f^n(C) \subset B_n$ for $n \ge N(C)$.



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

The **inner connectivity** of U_n is the connectivity of $U_n \cap \{z : |z| \le r_n\}$

The **inner connectivity** of U_n is the connectivity of $U_n \cap \{z : |z| \le r_n\}$

Theorem

Either

• U_n has infinite inner connectivity for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ or



The **inner connectivity** of U_n is the connectivity of $U_n \cap \{z : |z| \le r_n\}$

Theorem

Either

- U_n has infinite inner connectivity for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ or
- the inner connectivity of U_n is finite and decreases to 2.



The **inner connectivity** of U_n is the connectivity of $U_n \cap \{z : |z| \le r_n\}$

Theorem

Either

- U_n has infinite inner connectivity for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ or
- the inner connectivity of U_n is finite and decreases to 2.

The **outer connectivity** of U_n is defined in a similar way but can decrease from infinity to a finite number.

<ロト < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Connectivity of multiply connected wandering domains discussed with Baumgartner and Bergweiler

Theorem

Let U be a multiply connected wandering domain.

 If U has infinite inner connectivity then U has uncountably many complementary components (only countably many of which can have interior) and hence A_R(f) ∩ J(f) has uncountably many components.



Connectivity of multiply connected wandering domains discussed with Baumgartner and Bergweiler

Theorem

Let U be a multiply connected wandering domain.

- If U has infinite inner connectivity then U has uncountably many complementary components (only countably many of which can have interior) and hence A_R(f) ∩ J(f) has uncountably many components.
- If U has finite inner connectivity then U has only countably many complementary components.

イロト 不良 とくほ とくほう 二日

Connectivity of multiply connected wandering domains discussed with Baumgartner and Bergweiler

Theorem

Let U be a multiply connected wandering domain.

- If U has infinite inner connectivity then U has uncountably many complementary components (only countably many of which can have interior) and hence A_R(f) ∩ J(f) has uncountably many components.
- If U has finite inner connectivity then U has only countably many complementary components.

Corollary

There are examples of functions for which $A_R(f) \cap J(f)$ has only countably many components.

・ロット (雪) ・ (日) ・ (日)

Applications to a question about commuting functions

Question

If f, g are analytic with $f \circ g = g \circ f$, does J(f) = J(g)?



Question

If f, g are analytic with $f \circ g = g \circ f$, does J(f) = J(g)?

Fatou and also Julia showed that the answer is "yes" if f and g are rational.



Question

If f, g are analytic with $f \circ g = g \circ f$, does J(f) = J(g)?

Fatou and also Julia showed that the answer is "yes" if f and g are rational.

Fatou's proof

Fatou showed that $g(F(f)) \subset F(f)$ and hence $F(f) \subset F(g)$ by Montel's Theorem.



Question

If f, g are analytic with $f \circ g = g \circ f$, does J(f) = J(g)?

Fatou and also Julia showed that the answer is "yes" if f and g are rational.

Fatou's proof

Fatou showed that $g(F(f)) \subset F(f)$ and hence $F(f) \subset F(g)$ by Montel's Theorem.

Julia's proof

Based on repelling periodic points (in J(f)!).

Theorem (Baker, 1962)

If $f \circ g = g \circ f$ and U is a Fatou component of f, then $g(U) \subset F(f)$ unless $U \subset I(f)$.



Theorem (Baker, 1962)

If $f \circ g = g \circ f$ and U is a Fatou component of f, then $g(U) \subset F(f)$ unless $U \subset I(f)$.

Theorem (Bergweiler and Hinkkanen, 1999)

If $f \circ g = g \circ f$ and U is a Fatou component of f, then $g(U) \subset F(f)$ unless $U \subset A(f)$.



Theorem (Baker, 1962)

If $f \circ g = g \circ f$ and U is a Fatou component of f, then $g(U) \subset F(f)$ unless $U \subset I(f)$.

Theorem (Bergweiler and Hinkkanen, 1999)

If $f \circ g = g \circ f$ and U is a Fatou component of f, then $g(U) \subset F(f)$ unless $U \subset A(f)$.

Corollary

If $f \circ g = g \circ f$ and f, g have no fast escaping Fatou components, then J(f) = J(g).

イロト 不良 とくほ とくほう 二日

Theorem (Bergweiler and Hinkkanen, 1999)

If U is a fast escaping Fatou component, then U is a wandering domain.



Theorem (Bergweiler and Hinkkanen, 1999)

If U is a fast escaping Fatou component, then U is a wandering domain.

Theorem (Rippon and Stallard, 2005)

If U is a multiply connected wandering domain, then U is fast escaping.



Theorem (Bergweiler and Hinkkanen, 1999)

If U is a fast escaping Fatou component, then U is a wandering domain.

Theorem (Rippon and Stallard, 2005)

If U is a multiply connected wandering domain, then U is fast escaping.

There are only two known examples of functions with simply connected fast escaping wandering domains: one due to Bergweiler and one due to Sixsmith.

(日)、(間)、(目)、(日)、(日)

Using the description of multiply connected wandering domains given by Bergweiler, Rippon and Stallard, we were able to prove the following.

Theorem (Benini, Rippon and Stallard, 2015)

If $f \circ g = g \circ f$ and U is a multiply connected wandering domain of f, then $g(U) \subset F(f)$.



Using the description of multiply connected wandering domains given by Bergweiler, Rippon and Stallard, we were able to prove the following.

Theorem (Benini, Rippon and Stallard, 2015)

If $f \circ g = g \circ f$ and U is a multiply connected wandering domain of f, then $g(U) \subset F(f)$.

Corollary

If $f \circ g = g \circ f$ and f, g have no simply connected fast escaping wandering domains, then J(f) = J(g).

・ロト ・ ア・ ・ ヨ・ ・ ヨ・